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## 1 MNL Model Specification

Our observations correspond to $N$ individuals each of whom makes one choice out of $J$ alternatives. The dependent variable, $Y_{n}$, is the choice made by the $n$ th individual. The set of independent variables is divided into a set of variables that are individual-specific, say $X_{n}=\left(x_{n 1}, \ldots, x_{n K_{o}}\right)^{T}$, and a set of variables that are alternative-specific, say $W_{n i}=\left(w_{n i 1}, \ldots, w_{n i K_{a}}\right)^{T}, i=1, \ldots, J$. The probability of individual $n$ choosing alternative $i$ is given by the standard multinomial logit formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n i}=\frac{e^{V_{n i}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} e^{V_{n j}}} \quad \text { where } V_{n i}=\alpha_{i 0}+\alpha_{i} X_{n}+\beta_{i} W_{n i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\alpha_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i K_{o}}\right)$ and $\beta_{i}=\left(\beta_{i 1}, \ldots, \beta_{i K_{a}}\right)$. We call $\alpha_{10}, \ldots, \alpha_{J 0}$ the alternative-specific constants.
It is often of interest to constrain the coefficients to be the same over the given set of alternatives, i.e. $\alpha_{1 k}=\alpha_{2 k} \cdots=\alpha_{J k}$ for a given $k$. The same applies to the $\beta$ coefficients.

## Base Alternative

In order to be able to use the Begg \& Gray approximation [1], we need to set a base alternative and treat the remaining alternatives as differences to the base. Thus, if the base alternative is $1, V_{n 1}=0$ for all $n$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n i}=\alpha_{i 0}+\alpha_{i} X_{n}+\beta_{i} W_{n i}^{\prime} \quad \text { where } W_{n i}^{\prime}=W_{n i}-W_{n 1} \quad \text { for } i=2, \ldots J \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Conversion

The conversion is done analogously to [2]. We decompose the original dataset into $D_{0}=\left\{D_{b}, D_{r}\right\}$, where $D_{b}$ denotes the set of individuals that chose the base alternative, and $D_{r}$ denotes the set of the remaining individuals. For each
$i=1, \ldots, J$, set $N_{i}$ to be the number of individuals that chose alternative $i$. Then the converted dataset is constructed as follows:

1. Form $J$ matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{J}$ where each $M_{i}$ has $N_{i}$ rows and the columns consist of $Y, X$ and $U=W_{\cdot i}^{\prime}$.
2. Form $J-1$ blocks, $D_{2}, \ldots, D_{J}$, where $D_{i}$ has $\left(N_{1}+N_{i}\right)$ rows and is formed as follows:
(a) Take the rows of $M_{1}$ and $M_{i}$.
(b) Add columns:

- $Y^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & : & Y=1 \\ 1 & : & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
- $\left\{Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{J}\right\}$, where $Z_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{1} & : & j=i \\ \mathbf{0} & : & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
- $\left\{Z_{2} X, \ldots, Z_{J} X\right\}$
- $\left\{Z_{2} U, \ldots, Z_{J} U\right\}$

3. Combine the rows of $D_{2}, \ldots, D_{J}$.

The approximated binary logistic model is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{logit}\left(P\left[Y^{*}=1\right]\right)=\gamma_{2}+\sum_{l=3}^{J} \gamma_{l} Z_{l}+\sum_{k=1}^{K_{o}} Q\left(\delta_{(\cdot) k}, X_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{K_{a}} Q\left(\theta_{(\cdot) k}, U_{k}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q\left(\tau_{(\cdot) k}, S\right)= \begin{cases}\tau_{k} S & : \begin{array}{l}
\text { if the coefficients of } S \text { are contrained to be } \\
\\
\sum_{l=2}^{J} \tau_{l k} Z_{l} S
\end{array}: \begin{array}{l}
\text { the same for all alternatives, i.e. } \tau_{(\cdot) k}=\tau_{k}
\end{array} \\
\text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Given estimated coefficients $\widehat{\gamma}, \widehat{\delta}$ and $\widehat{\theta}$, estimators of the coefficients of the original model in Equation (2) are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\alpha}_{10}=0, \quad \widehat{\alpha}_{20}=\widehat{\gamma}_{2}, \widehat{\alpha}_{i 0}=\widehat{\gamma}_{i}+\widehat{\gamma}_{2}, \quad \text { for } i=3, \ldots, J \\
& \widehat{\alpha}_{i k}=\widehat{\delta}_{i k}, \quad \text { for } i=2, \ldots, J, k=1, \ldots, K_{o} \\
& \widehat{\beta}_{i k}=\widehat{\theta}_{i k}, \quad \text { for } i=2, \ldots, J, k=1, \ldots, K_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Example

Consider the following toy dataset with eight individuals, four alternatives and two independent variables, $X$ and $W$ :

| id | $Y$ | $X$ | $W_{1}$ | $W_{2}$ | $W_{3}$ | $W_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $x_{1}$ | $w_{11}$ | $w_{12}$ | $w_{13}$ | $w_{14}$ |
| 2 | 1 | $x_{2}$ | $w_{21}$ | $w_{22}$ | $w_{23}$ | $w_{24}$ |
| 3 | 2 | $x_{3}$ | $w_{31}$ | $w_{32}$ | $w_{33}$ | $w_{34}$ |
| 4 | 2 | $x_{4}$ | $w_{41}$ | $w_{42}$ | $w_{43}$ | $w_{44}$ |
| 5 | 3 | $x_{5}$ | $w_{51}$ | $w_{52}$ | $w_{53}$ | $w_{54}$ |
| 6 | 3 | $x_{6}$ | $w_{61}$ | $w_{62}$ | $w_{63}$ | $w_{64}$ |
| 7 | 4 | $x_{7}$ | $w_{71}$ | $w_{72}$ | $w_{73}$ | $w_{74}$ |
| 8 | 4 | $x_{8}$ | $w_{81}$ | $w_{82}$ | $w_{83}$ | $w_{84}$ |

Setting the base alternative to 1 , the converted dataset is of the form:

|  | $Y$ | $X$ | $U$ | $Y^{*}$ | $Z_{2}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{4}$ | $Z_{2} X$ | $Z_{3} X$ | $Z_{4} X$ | $Z_{2} U$ | $Z_{3} U$ | $Z_{4} U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{2} U$ | 1 | $x_{1}$ | $w_{12}-w_{11}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{12}-w_{11}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | $x_{2}$ | $w_{22}-w_{21}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{22}-w_{21}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | $x_{3}$ | $w_{32}-w_{31}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{32}-w_{31}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | $x_{4}$ | $w_{42}-w_{41}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{42}-w_{41}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $D_{3}$ | 1 | $x_{1}$ | $w_{13}-w_{11}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{13}-w_{11}$ | 0 |
|  | 1 | $x_{2}$ | $w_{23}-w_{21}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{23}-w_{21}$ | 0 |
|  | 3 | $x_{5}$ | $w_{53}-w_{51}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{53}-w_{51}$ | 0 |
|  | 3 | $x_{6}$ | $w_{63}-w_{61}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{6}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{63}-w_{61}$ | 0 |
| $D_{4}$ | 1 | $x_{1}$ | $w_{14}-w_{11}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{14}-w_{11}$ |
|  | 1 | $x_{2}$ | $w_{24}-w_{21}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{24}-w_{21}$ |
|  | 4 | $x_{7}$ | $w_{74}-w_{71}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{7}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{74}-w_{71}$ |
|  | 4 | $x_{8}$ | $w_{84}-w_{81}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x_{8}$ | 0 | 0 | $w_{84}-w_{81}$ |

An MNL model, specified in mlogitBMA by $Y \sim 1 \mid X+U$, is approximated using the logit model

$$
Y^{*} \sim Z_{3}+Z_{4}+Z_{2} X+Z_{3} X+Z_{4} X+Z_{2} U+Z_{3} U+Z_{4} U
$$

The MNL coefficients from Equation (2) correspond to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\alpha_{20}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) & \left.=\text { (Intercept, } Z_{2} X \text { coef., } Z_{2} U \text { coef. }\right) \\
\left(\alpha_{30}, \alpha_{3}, \beta_{3}\right) & \left.=\text { (Intercept }+Z_{3} \text { coef., } Z_{3} X \text { coef., } Z_{3} U \text { coef. }\right) \\
\left(\alpha_{40}, \alpha_{4}, \beta_{4}\right) & \left.=\text { (Intercept }+Z_{4} \text { coef., } Z_{4} X \text { coef., } Z_{4} U \text { coef. }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we constrain the coefficients to be the same for all alternatives, i.e. $\alpha=\alpha_{2}=$ $\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}$ and $\beta=\beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\beta_{4}$, which is specified in mlogitBMA by $Y \sim X+U$, the logit model

$$
Y^{*} \sim Z_{3}+Z_{4}+X+U
$$

is used as an approximation. In this case, $\alpha$ corresponds to the coefficient of $X$ and $\beta$ corresponds to the coefficient of $U$.
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