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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Objective

To evaluate for example the match of different statistical model often simulation studies are used. In simulations the underlying data can be from a real study, but also from simulated data given a specific distribution. For this purpose we provide a package to sampling data from normal distribution to mimic data of cluster randomised trials within different study designs, namely parallel, cross-over and stepped wedge design. Besides a traditional design collects sampling units within different groups, which should be compared, in the past years multilevel design, which collect additional units nested within the original sampling units, becomes very popular. Measurements of different patients nested within hospitals, also assigned as clusters, is one example of a two-level nested data. Another example of nested structures are the repeated measurements of patients. Furthermore, three-level nested data is obtained for example by the combination of both nesting examples. The complete data of such examples is then multivariate distributed. The aim of this package is to provide a easy implementation of sampling multivariate normal distributed data for further investigations. Additionally, the requires power calculation for a special studie design, the stepped wedge desing, is given.

### 1.2 Different study types

Parallel, cross-over and stepped wedge designs. With this package we provide data sampling within three common used study design types: parallel, cross-over an stepped wedge designs (SWD). Table 1 shows examples of these kind of types, each with $C=6$ cluster, followed over $T=4$ time points. A parallel design is present, when two groups of treatments are given so that one group receives only the first treatment while another group receives only the second. In contrast to the parallel design in a crossover design trail each experimental unit (patient) receives different treatments during the different time points. Hence, it is
a repeated measurements design. An alternative and more popular becoming design is the stepped wedge design. Here, the intervntion is rollout to different units sequential but random over different time points.

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $T_{3}$ | $T_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center $_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Center $_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Center $_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Center $_{4}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Center $_{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Center $_{6}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1: Examples of different study design types: A) parallel, B) cross-over, and C) stepped wedge design.

Cross-sectional versus longitudinal. In trials often subjects within clusters are followed over a period of time and measured to several measurment points. Two kinds of data collection is then possible: 1) crosssectional data, if at each time point the measurment units (subjects) are different to the units at another timepoints, or 2 ) longitudinal data, the measurment units (subjects) are the same to all timepoints (known as repeated measurements). Hence, if it is a trail with $C$ clusters and a clustersize of $N$ each, which are follwed over $T$ timepoints, then the total number of included subjects is $C \times T \times N$ in a cross-sectional and $C \times N$ in a longitudinal study.

## 2 Multivariate normal distributed data for multilevel data

For the situation of a cluster-randomized trail with T number of time points, C number of clusters and N number of patients per cluster (and time point, when it is a cross-sectional) the complete dataset can be written as the vector of responses $\vec{Y}=\left\{Y_{i j k}\right\}$ of length $T \times C \times N$, which is sampled from a multidimensional normal distribution:

$$
\vec{Y} \sim N(Z b, V)
$$

where $Z b$ is then the fixed effects full rank design matrix multiplied by the regression fixed effects coefficients and $V$ the variance-covariance matrix. $Y_{i j k}$ is then the observation in cluster $i$ to time point $k$ for the subject $j$ in the cross-sectional case or the $k$-th measurement of the subject $j$ in cluster $i$ in the longitudinal case, respectively. The form of the random part (variance-covariance matrix) depends on the sampling of either cross-sectional or longitudinal study design, whereas the form of the fixed part depends on the study design type (parallel, cross-over an stepped wedge designs).

### 2.1 Fixed effects part

The regression fixed effects coefficients within the provided designs are defined as

$$
b=\left(\mu, \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{I}, \theta\right),
$$

where $\mu$ is the overall mean, $\theta$ is the intervention effect, $\beta_{k}$ is the fixed time effect for time point $k, k=$ $(1, \cdots, I)$.

The design matrix $X$ of the model for such designs has the form

The fixed effects full rank design matrix $Z$ is then a concatenation of all matrices $Z_{i}$ of all clusters, which in turn are a concatenation of $N$ replications of matrices $Z_{i j}$ (hence for all $j$ the $Z_{i j}$ is the same) and which are created out of the design matrix $X$ of the SWD model. Then is $Z_{i j}$ for one subject in cluster i a column wise binded matrix of

1. a vector of ones (the same for all cluster)
2. a matrix $A$ (the same for all cluster)
3. a vector, which is the corresponding row of the design matrix $X$ to cluster i.

Each row of $Z_{i j}$ corresponds then to a identify entry of a fixed effects in regression fixed effects coefficients vector $b$.

Hence, $Z_{i}$ is build by row wise binded $N$ replicates of $Z_{i j}$

$$
Z_{i}=\begin{aligned}
& \text { subject } 1 \\
& \vdots \\
& \text { subject } \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}\left(\begin{array}{c}
Z_{i j} \\
\vdots \\
Z_{i j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $Z$ by row wise binded $C$ matrices $Z_{i}$

$$
Z=\begin{aligned}
& \text { cluster } 1 \\
& \vdots \\
& \\
& \text { cluster } \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}\left(\begin{array}{c}
Z_{i} \\
\vdots \\
Z_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence, each row corresponds to one subject $j$ of a cluster $i$ to timepoint $k$ and multiplied with the vector of regression fixed effects coefficients $b$ result in the fixed effect part of the linear equation for this observation. Hence, it is the mean vector of the multivariate normal distribution and it is performed by the matrix multiplication $Z b$.

Parallel design For example with $I=4$ cluster and $K=3$ measurments, hence only two cluster for either control or treatment arm, the design matrix $X$ is defined as
time point 1 time point 2 time point 3 time point 4

$$
X=\begin{gathered}
\text { cluster } 1 \\
\text { cluster } 2 \\
\text { cluster } 3 \\
\text { cluster } 4
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the matrix $Z_{i}$ for cluster 1 and 2 is then

$$
Z_{i \in(1,2)}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \theta \\
\text { time point 1 } \\
\text { time point 2 } \\
\text { time point 3 } \\
\text { time point 4 }
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and for cluster 3 and 4

$$
Z_{i \in(3,4)}=\begin{gathered}
\mu \\
\beta_{1}
\end{gathered} \beta_{2} \beta_{3} \begin{aligned}
& \theta \\
& \text { time point 1 } \\
& \text { time point 2 } \\
& \text { time point 3 } \\
& \text { time point 4 }
\end{aligned}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

then, if $N=2$ subjects are within each cluster the fixed effects full rank design matrix $Z$ is
$\left.Z=\begin{array}{l}\text { cluster 1 } \\ \text { cluster 2 } \\ \text { cluster 3 } \\ \text { cluster 4 }\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{l}Z_{1} \\ Z_{2} \\ Z_{3} \\ Z_{4}\end{array}\right)=\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}\text { cluster 1 subject 1 } \\ \text { cluster 1 subject 2 } \\ \text { cluster 2 subject 1 }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { cluster 2 subject 2 } \\ \text { cluster 3 subject 1 } \\ \text { cluster 3 subject 2 } \\ \text { cluster 4 subject 1 } \\ \text { cluster 4 subject 2 }\end{array} \\ Z_{2 j} \\ Z_{2 j} \\ Z_{3 j} \\ Z_{3 j} \\ Z_{4 j} \\ Z_{4 j}\end{array}\right)$

|  | $\mu \quad \beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cluster 1 subject 1 time | ( 1 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| cluster 1 subject 1 time point 2 | , |  | 0 0 |
| cluster 1 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| uster 1 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 1 subject 2 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 1 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 0 |
| uster 1 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 1 subject 2 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 2 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| uster 2 subject 2 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 00 |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 11 |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 11 |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 11 |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right.$ | 0 | 0 1) |

and the fixed part, hence the mean vector of the multivariate normal distribution, is then

$$
\vec{\mu}=Z *\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu \\
\beta_{2} \\
\beta_{3} \\
\theta
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

Cross-over design For example with $I=4$ cluster and $K=4$ measurments, two cluster each switches treatment and control after time point 2, the design matrix $X$ is defined as
time point 1 time point 2 time point 3 time point 4

$$
X=\begin{gathered}
\text { cluster } 1 \\
\text { cluster } 2 \\
\text { cluster } 3 \\
\text { cluster } 4
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the matrix $Z_{i}$ for cluster 1 and 2 is then

$$
Z_{i \in(1,2)}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \theta \\
\text { time point 1 } \\
\text { time point 2 } \\
\text { time point 3 } \\
\text { time point 4 }
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and for cluster 3 and 4

$$
Z_{i \in(3,4)}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu & \beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \theta \\
\text { time point 1 } \\
\text { time point 2 } \\
\text { time point 3 } \\
\text { time point 4 }
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

then, if $N=2$ subjects are within each cluster the fixed effects full rank design matrix $Z$ is
$\left.Z=\begin{array}{l}\text { cluster 1 } \\ \text { cluster 2 } \\ \text { cluster 3 } \\ \text { cluster 4 }\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{l}Z_{1} \\ Z_{2} \\ Z_{3} \\ Z_{4}\end{array}\right)=\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}\text { cluster 1 subject 1 } \\ \text { cluster 1 subject 2 } \\ \text { cluster 2 subject 1 } \\ \text { cluster 2 subject 2 } \\ \text { cluster 3 subject 1 }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}Z_{1 j} \\ \text { cluster 3 subject 2 } \\ \text { cluster 4 subject 1 } \\ \text { cluster 4 subject 2 }\end{array} \\ Z_{2 j} \\ Z_{3 j} \\ Z_{3 j} \\ Z_{3 j} \\ Z_{3 j}\end{array}\right)$

|  | $\mu \quad \beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cluster 1 subject 1 time p | ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | $0{ }_{0} 0$ |
| cluster 1 subject 1 time point 2 | , |  | 0 0 |
| cluster 1 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 11 |
| cluster 1 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 1 subject 2 time point 1 | $1 \quad 1$ | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| cluster 1 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| cluster 1 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| cluster 1 subject 2 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| cluster 2 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 2 subject 2 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| cluster 2 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 0 |
| cluster 2 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| cluster 2 subject 2 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 3 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 | 1 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| cluster 3 subject 2 time point 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 0 |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 1 | 11 | 0 | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 2 | 10 |  | 01 |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| cluster 4 subject 1 time point 4 | 10 |  | 0 |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point 1 | $1 \quad 1$ |  | $0 \quad 1$ |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point 2 | 10 |  | 01 |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point 3 | 10 |  | 0 |
| cluster 4 subject 2 time point 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 0) |

and the fixed part, hence the mean vector of the multivariate normal distribution, is then

$$
\vec{\mu}=Z *\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\beta_{2} \\
\beta_{3} \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu \\
\mu+\beta_{1}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

Stepped wedge design For example with $I=3$ cluster and $K=4$ measurments, hence only one cluster switches per timepoint, the design matrix $X$ is defined as
time point 1 time point 2 time point 3 time point 4

$$
X=\begin{gathered}
\text { cluster } 1 \\
\text { cluster } 2 \\
\text { cluster } 3
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the matrix $Z_{i}$ for cluster 1 is then
then, if $N=2$ subjects are within each cluster the fixed effects full rank design matrix $Z$ is

and the fixed part, hence the mean vector of the multivariate normal distribution, is then

$$
\vec{\mu}=Z *\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2}+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3}+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\beta_{1} \\
\beta_{3} \\
\theta
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu+\theta \\
\mu+\beta_{1} \\
\mu+\beta_{2} \\
\mu+\beta_{3} \\
\mu+\theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

### 2.2 Random part

All clusters are independent from each other, hence the variance-covariance matrix $V$ is a block-diagonal matrix of the matrices $V_{i}$ of all clusters (and all others are zeros), where for all $i$ the $V_{i}$ are the same for all cluster.

$$
V=\begin{gathered}
\text { cluster } 1 \\
\text { cluster } 1 \\
\\
\\
V_{i} \\
0
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 
\\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & V_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
V_{i}=\begin{gathered}
\text { subject } 1 \\
\text { subject } \mathrm{N}
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { subject } 1 & & & \text { subject N } \\
V_{i 1, i 1} & V_{i 1, i 2} & \cdots & V_{i 1, i N} \\
V_{i 1, i 2} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & V_{i N-1, i N} \\
V_{i 1, i N} & \cdots & V_{i N-1, i N} & V_{i N, N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefor we define $V_{i j, i \tilde{j}}$ as a submatrix of $V_{i}$ for the entities corresponding to the measurements of subject $i$ and the measurement of subject $\tilde{j}$.

For all two different subjects $j$ and $\tilde{j}(j \neq \tilde{j})$ this submatrix is defined by

$$
V_{i j, i \tilde{j}}=\begin{gathered}
\\
\text { timpoint } 1 \\
\text { timepoint T }
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { timpoint } 1 & & \text { timepoint T } \\
\sigma_{e}^{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\sigma_{e}^{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{e}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The difference in the distributions of the observations within a cross-sectional and longitudinal SWD is in the random part of the model. Thus the variance-covariance matrix $V$ of the normal distribution $N(Z b, V)$ and hence the form of the $V_{i}$ or $V_{i j, i j}$ respectively differ.

## Variance-Covariance matrix within a cross-sectional design.

For our example of ( $I=2$ cluster, $) K=3$ timepoints and $N=2$ subjects each cluster the VarianceCovariance matrix $V_{i}$ for each cluster is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overbrace{\operatorname{tp} 1}^{\text {tp } 2} \operatorname{tabject} 3^{\text {tp } 1} \overbrace{\operatorname{tp} 1}^{\text {subject } 2} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { subject 1 }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{tp~1} \\
\operatorname{tp} 2 \\
\operatorname{tp~T} \\
\operatorname{tp} 1 \\
\operatorname{tp} 2 \\
\operatorname{tp~T}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{e}^{2} & \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2}
\end{array}\right), ~\right.
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variance-Covariance matrix within a longitudinal design.

$$
V_{i j, i j}=\begin{gathered}
\text { timpoint } 1 \\
\text { timepoint T } \\
\text { timpoint 1 } \\
\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\sigma_{\gamma}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\sigma_{\gamma}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2} \\
\vdots \\
\sigma_{\gamma}^{2}+\sigma_{e}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

For our example of ( $I=2$ cluster, $) K=3$ timepoints and $N=2$ subjects each cluster the VarianceCovariance matrix $V_{i}$ for each cluster is then


## 3 Manual

Use the following Package under GPL and load to the library:

```
#load the package
library(samplingDataCRT)
library(lme4)
## Loading required package: Matrix
```


### 3.1 Design matrices

In each study a design matrix of values of explanatory variables can be used to describe the study type. Here, each row represents an study unit (cluster) and the cell entities are the encoding of recieving the treatment or not (zeros and ones). Table1 shows such design matrices or different study types.

In contrast each row of the design matrix of the complete data of the trail represents a measurement with the successive columns corresponding to the variables (effects) and their specific values for that. The design matrix of the complete data corresponds to the fixed part of the multivariate normal distribution.

All matrices could also be implemented manually using the function matrix(), but, instead of ordering an amount of zeros and ones, the provided functions in this package make it easy to recieve this complex matrices for simple study designs using only some parameters (for balanced data and equal number of clusters per switch).
designMatrix The design matrix for the study type of the three types a) parallel, b) cross-over, and c) SWD can be performed by using the function designMatrix(), which require four parameters: the number of clusters within the trail, the number of measurement time points, the number of cluster, which switch over from control to intervention at each time point and the study type ("SWD" as default).

```
I<-6 #number of cluster
K<-4 #number of timecpoints
#Design matrix for parallel study, see Table 1
sw<-3 #number of cluster switches
designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw, design="parallel")
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
\#\# & & {\([, 1]\)} & {\([, 2]\)} & {\([, 3]\)} & {\([, 4]\)} \\
\#\# & {\([1]\),} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# & {\([2]\),} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# [3,] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# \([4]\), & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [5,] & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [6,] & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{tabular}
#Design matrix for cross-over study, see Table 1
designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw, design="cross-over")
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
\#\# & {\([, 1]\)} & {\([, 2]\)} & {\([, 3]\)} & {\([, 4]\)} \\
\#\# [1,] & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [2,] & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [3,] & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [4,] & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# [5,] & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# [6,] & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{tabular}
```

```
#if swP is set, then the timepoint of switch is setted manually
designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw, swP=1, design="cross-over")
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
\#\# & {\([, 1]\)} & {\([, 2]\)} & {\([, 3]\)} & {\([, 4]\)} \\
\#\# \([1]\), & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [2,] & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [3,] & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [4,] & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# [5,] & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# [6,] & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{tabular}
#Design matrix for SWD study, see Table 1
sw<-2 #number of cluster switches
designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
\#\# & & {\([, 1]\)} & {\([, 2]\)} & {\([, 3]\)} & {\([, 4]\)} \\
\#\# & {\([1]\),} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [2,] & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [3,] & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# \([4]\), & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\#\# [5,] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\#\# \([6]\), & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{tabular}
```

completeDataDesignMatrix The function completeDataDesignMatrix() performes the design matrix for complete data within given study design. It requires a design matrix of a study and the number of subject within each 'cell'.

```
K<-4 #number of time points
J<-2 #number of subjects, each cluster and timepoint
##### for parallel study #####
I<-4 #number of cluster
sw<-2 #number of cluster switches
# create a design matrix
(X<-designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw, design="parallel"))
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0 0 0 0
## [2,] 0
## [3,] 1
## [4,] 1
# create the corresponding complete data design matrix
completeDataDesignMatrix(J, X)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
\#\# & & {\([, 1]\)} & {\([, 2]\)} & {\([, 3]\)} & {\([, 4]\)} & {\([, 5]\)} \\
\#\# & {\([1]\),} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# & {\([2]\),} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# & {\([3]\),} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# & {\([4]\),} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\#\# & {\([5]\),} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# & {\([6]\),} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\#\# & {\([7]\),} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{tabular}
```

| \#\# | $[8]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# [9,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [10,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [11,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [12,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [13,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [14,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [15,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [16,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [17,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [18,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [19,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [20,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [21,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [22,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [23,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [24,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [25,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [26,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [27,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [28,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [29,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [30,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [31,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [32,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |

\#\#\#\#\# for cross-over study \#\#\#\#\#
\# create a design matrix
(X<-designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw, design="cross-over"))

| \#\# |  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

```
# create the corresponding complete data design matrix
```

completeDataDesignMatrix (J, X)

| \#\# |  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ | $[, 5]$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# $[10]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# [11,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \#\# $[12]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| \#\# [13,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| \#\# | $[14]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| \#\# [15,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# [16,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# [17,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [18,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [19,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# [20,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# [21,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [22,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [23,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# [24,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# [25,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [26,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [27,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# [28,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# [29,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [30,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# [31,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# [32,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

\#\#\#\#\# for SWD study \#\#\#\#\#

I<-3 \#number of cluster
\# create a design matrix
( $\mathrm{X}<-$ designMatrix ( $\mathrm{nC}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{nT}=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{nSw}=1$ ))

| \#\# | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# [1,] | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# [2,] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# [3,] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

\# create the corresponding complete data design matrix
completeDataDesignMatrix(J, X)

| \#\# |  | [,1] | [,2] | [,3] | [,4] | [,5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#\# | [1,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [2,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | [3,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | [4, ] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | [5, ] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [6, ] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | [7, ] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | [8,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | [9, ] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [10,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [11,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | [12,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | [13,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [14,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [15,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | [16,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | [17,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [18,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [19,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | [20,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | [21,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| \#\# [22,] | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# [23,] | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# [24,] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

### 3.2 Covariance-Variance-Matrices

Covariance-Variance matrix are needed besides the mean vector to specify the kind of multivariate normal distribution. The form depends on the kind of multilevel structure. In our examples of cluster randomized studies with measurements over time there are two possibilities: 1) two-level data within cross-sectional studies and 2) three-level data within longitudinal studies.

CovMat.Design The corresponding covariance-Variance matrices can be performed with the provided CovMat.Design(). The function required the design parameter $K$ number of timepoints, $I$ number of clusters, $J$ number of subjects within each cluster to each timepoint, and also the variances corresponding to each level. If 'sigma.2.q' is not given, then it a cross-sectional, otherwise a longitudinal design is performed.

```
#study design parameter
K<-3 #number of measurement (or timepoints)
I<-2 #number of cluster
J<-2 #number of subjects
### for cross-sectional data
sigma.1<-0.1
sigma.3<-0.9
CovMat.Design(K, J, I,
sigma.1.q=sigma.1, sigma.3.q=sigma.3)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrr} 
\#\# & & {\([, 1]\)} & {\([, 2]\)} & {\([, 3]\)} & {\([, 4]\)} & {\([, 5]\)} & {\([, 6]\)} & {\([, 7]\)} & {\([, 8]\)} & {\([, 9]\)} & {\([, 10]\)} & {\([, 11]\)} & {\([, 12]\)} \\
\#\# & {\([1]\),} & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([2]\),} & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([3]\),} & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([4]\),} & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([5]\),} & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([6]\),} & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([7]\),} & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 \\
\#\# & {\([8]\),} & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 \\
\#\# & {\([9]\),} & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 \\
\#\# & {\([10]\),} & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 \\
\#\# & {\([11]\),} & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0 & 0.9 \\
\#\# & {\([12]\),} & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.0
\end{tabular}
### for longitudinal data
sigma.1<-0.1
sigma.2<-0.4
sigma.3<-0.9
CovMat.Design(K, J, I,
                            sigma.1.q=sigma.1, sigma.2.q=sigma.2, sigma.3.q=sigma.3)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrr} 
\#\# & & {\([, 1]\)} & {\([, 2]\)} & {\([, 3]\)} & {\([, 4]\)} & {\([, 5]\)} & {\([, 6]\)} & {\([, 7]\)} & {\([, 8]\)} & {\([, 9]\)} & {\([, 10]\)} & {\([, 11]\)} & {\([, 12]\)} \\
\#\# & {\([1]\),} & 1.4 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([2]\),} & 1.3 & 1.4 & 1.3 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([3]\),} & 1.3 & 1.3 & 1.4 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\#\# & {\([4]\),} & 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 1.4 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0
\end{tabular}
```

| \#\# | $[5]$, | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| \#\# $[10]$, | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 |  |
| \#\# $[11]$, | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |  |
| \#\# $[12]$, | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |  |

### 3.3 Sample data under a given study design

We provide a function to sample a complete data set from multivariate normal distribution to mimic data of cluster randomised trials within different study designs, namely parallel, cross-over and stepped wedge design and different type of longitudinal or cross-sectional data.
sampleData Therefore, we provide the sampleData(), where the mean vector and the covariance-variance matrix of the distribution under such studies has to be given.

```
#desing parameter
K<-4 #number of time points
J<-25 #number of subjects, each cluster and timepoint
#variances of each level
sigma.1<-0.1
sigma.2<-0.4
sigma.3<-0.9
#regression paramters
mu.0<-0
theta<-1
betas<-rep(0, K-1)
parameters<-c(mu.0, betas, theta)
##### for parallel study #####
I<-4 #number of cluster
sw<-2 #number of cluster switches
# create a design matrix
X<-designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw, design="parallel")
# create the corresponding complete data design matrix
D<-completeDataDesignMatrix(J, X)
#performe covariance-Variance matrix for longitudinal design
V<-CovMat.Design(K, J, I, sigma.1.q=sigma.1, sigma.2.q=sigma.2, sigma.3.q=sigma.3)
#sample data within the design
sample.data<-sampleData(type = "long", K=K,J=J,I=I, D=D, V=V, parameters=parameters)
#need the lme4 package for analysis
lmer(val~intervention+measurement + (1|cluster)+(1|subject), data=sample.data)
## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
## Formula: val ~ intervention + measurement + (1 | cluster) + (1 | subject)
## Data: sample.data
## REML criterion at convergence: 487.5912
```

```
## Random effects:
```

| $\# \#$ | -0.212336 | 0.546499 | 0.013435 | 0.003211 | 0.046651 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
```


## Groups Name Std.Dev.

```
## Groups Name Std.Dev.
## subject (Intercept) 0.5828
## subject (Intercept) 0.5828
## cluster (Intercept) 1.4733
## cluster (Intercept) 1.4733
## Residual 0.3062
## Residual 0.3062
## Number of obs: 400, groups: subject, 100; cluster, 4
## Number of obs: 400, groups: subject, 100; cluster, 4
## Fixed Effects:
## Fixed Effects:
## (Intercept) intervention measurement2 measurement3 measurement4
```


## (Intercept) intervention measurement2 measurement3 measurement4

```
```


# \#\#\#\#\# for cross-over study

# create a design matrix

X<-designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=sw, design="cross-over")

# create the corresponding complete data design matrix

D<-completeDataDesignMatrix(J, X)
\#performe covariance-Variance matrix for longitudinal design
V<-CovMat.Design(K, J, I, sigma.1.q=sigma.1, sigma.2.q=sigma.2, sigma.3.q=sigma.3)
\#sample data within the design
sample.data<-sampleData(type = "long", K=K,J=J,I=I, D=D, V=V, parameters=parameters)
\#analysis of the three-level data
lmer(val~intervention+measurement + (1|cluster)+(1|subject), data=sample.data)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']

## Formula: val ~ intervention + measurement + (1 | cluster) + (1 | subject)

## Data: sample.data

## REML criterion at convergence: 492.5901

## Random effects:

## Groups Name Std.Dev.

## subject (Intercept) 0.6596

## cluster (Intercept) 0.8217

## Residual 0.2954

## Number of obs: 400, groups: subject, 100; cluster, 4

## Fixed Effects:

## (Intercept) intervention measurement2 measurement3 measurement4

| $\# \#$ | 0.47216 | 0.98275 | 0.02627 | 0.04295 | 0.01128 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

```
```


##### for SWD study

I<-3 \#number of cluster

# create a design matrix

X<-designMatrix(nC=I, nT=K, nSw=1)

# create the corresponding complete data design matrix

D<-completeDataDesignMatrix(J, X)
\#performe covariance-Variance matrix for cross-sectional design
V<-CovMat.Design(K, J, I, sigma.1=sigma.1, sigma.3=sigma.3)
\#sample data within the design
sample.data<-sampleData(type = "cross-sec", K=K,J=J,I=I, D=D, V=V, parameters=parameters)
\#analysis of the two-leveldata
lmer(val~intervention+measurement + (1|cluster), data=sample.data)

```
```


## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']

## Formula: val ~ intervention + measurement + (1 | cluster)

## Data: sample.data

## REML criterion at convergence: 174.9171

## Random effects:

## Groups Name Std.Dev.

## cluster (Intercept) 2.1370

## Residual 0.3055

## Number of obs: 300, groups: cluster, 3

## Fixed Effects:

## (Intercept) intervention measurement2 measurement3 measurement4

## 0.45611 1.00995 <rrre

```

\subsection*{3.4 Power calculations}

Power of testing the intervention effect is provided for SWD. The function needs the estimated intervention effect and their variance.
calcPower.SWD Power calculation within stepped wedge design model by Hussey \& Hughes \({ }^{1}\) for crosssectional and Heo \& Kim \({ }^{2}\) for longitudinal data.
```

noCl<-10
noT<-6
switches<-2
DM<-designMatrix(noCl,noT,switches)
sigma.e <- 2
sigma.alpha <- 2
\#Power for cross-sectional SWD design by formula of Hussey\&Hughes
calcPower.SWD(ThetaEst=1,Design=DM, sigmaq=sigma.e^2, tauq=sigma.alpha^2, time=FALSE)

## [1] 0.9894964

\#Power for longitudinal SWD design by formula of HeoधKim
\#Example Heo6Kim Table 1
\#\#\#Table 1, 1 row
delta<- 0.3\# treatment effect
DM.new<-NULL
for(i in 1:dim(DM)[2]){
DM.new<-cbind(DM.new,DM[,i], DM[,i])
}
DM.new

| \#\# |  | $[, 1]$ | $[, 2]$ | $[, 3]$ | $[, 4]$ | $[, 5]$ | $[, 6]$ | $[, 7]$ | $[, 8]$ | $[, 9]$ | $[, 10]$ | $[, 11]$ | $[, 12]$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\# \#$ | $[3]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\# \#$ | $[6]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Michael A. Hussey and James P. Hughes, Design and analysis of stepped wedge cluster randomized trials, Contemporary Clinical Trials(28),2007
\({ }^{2}\) Heo M., Kim N., Rinke ML., Wylie-Rosett J., Sample size determinations for stepped-wedge clinical trials from a three-level data hierarchy perspective, Stat Methods Med Res., 2016
}
```


## [7,]

sigma.e <- sqrt(7/10)
sigma <- sqrt(2/10)
sigma.alpha <- sqrt(1/10 )
K<- 10 \#number of participants within each 'cell'
calcPower.SWD(ThetaEst=delta, Design=DM.new,
tauq=sigma.alpha^2, sigmaq=sigma^2, sigmaq.error =sigma.e^2,
noSub=K, type="longitudinal")

## [1] 0.7964203

```

\section*{4 Summary}

\section*{designMatrix}

\section*{description}
create design matrix for a given setup of a stepped wedge design
parameter
\(n C\) number of cluster
\(n T\) number of timepoints
\(n S w\) number of cluster : within parallel recieve the control ( \(\mathrm{nC}-\mathrm{nSw}\) receive the intervention), within cross-over recieve the pattern \((0,1)\) (nC-nSw receive the pattern \((1,0))\) for nearly the same number of time points, within SWD switches from control to intervention per time point
\(s w P\) is the time point the cluster cross over the condition in a cross over study, if not given then it is nearly half of the time past, param design is the study type (parallel, cross-sectional, stepped wedge)
return
design matrix for a given setup of a stepped wedge design

\section*{implemMatrix.SWD}

\section*{description}

Creates a implementation matrix for a given stepped wedge design and grade of intervention implementation pattern

\section*{parameter}
\(n C\) Number of clusters
\(n T\) Number of timepoint
\(n S w\) number of clusters switches from control to treatment at each timepoint
pattern a vector for grade of intervention implementation pattern, which gives the deviation from 100 percent effectiveness over time

\section*{return}

Design matrix for SWD model under a grade of intervention implementation pattern

\section*{completeDataDesignMatrix}
description
create design matrix for complete data within design
parameter
\(J\) number of subjects
\(X\) given design matrix
return
design matrix for complete data within design

\section*{CovMat.Design}

\section*{description}
covariance matrix fof the normal distribution under cluster randomized study type given a design and a type

\section*{parameter}
\(K\) number of timepoints or measurments (design parameter)
\(J\) number of subjects
I number of clusters (design parameter)
sigma.1.q variance of the lowest level (error variance or within subject variance)
sigma.2.q secound level variance (e.g. within cluster and between subject variance)
sigma.3.q third level variance (e.g. between cluster variance)

\section*{return}
covariance matrix

\section*{sampleData}

\section*{description}

Sample data (response) for given numbers of individuals by given a model (of a parallel, cross-sectional, stepped wedge design study)

\section*{parameter}
type of the design is either cross-sectional ("cross-sec") or longitudinal ("longitudinal")
\(K\) number of timepoints or measurments (design parameter)
\(J\) number of subjects
\(I\) number of clusters (design parameter)
\(D\) a complete data design matrix corresponding to the assumed model
\(A\) a complete data design matrix corresponding to the true data, if A is null, then A is equal to D
\(V\) covariance matrix for the normal distribution
parameters corresponding to the model (regression fixed effects coefficients)

\section*{return}

Data of individuals intensities corresponds to the SWD model and full model parameter information

\section*{calcPower.SWD}

\section*{description}

Calculation of power for a lmm with cluster as random effect, fixed timepoint effects, but set to null, TP number of timepoints, I number of cluster. The design matrix has to be coded by zeros and ones.

\section*{parameter}

ThetaEst expected treatment effect
alpha singificance level (by default 0.05)
Design design matrix for a given SWD model
tauq between cluster variance
sigmaq within cluster variance(between subject variance)
sigmaq.error within subject variance/error variance
noSub number of subjects within each cluster and each timepoint (for all an equal size)
type is of "cross-sectional" (by default) or "longitudinal" assigns the type of data (2 or 3 level nested structure)
time a logical (FALSE, if no time trends are expected, otherwise TRUE) is only relevant for evaluation of cross-sectional data

\section*{return}

Aproximated power of two tailed test, although the design matrix is fractionated, then power is not valid, formula used for cross-sectional data provided by Hussey \& Hughes \({ }^{3}\), and for longitudinal data by Heo \& Kim \({ }^{4}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) Michael A. Hussey and James P. Hughes,Design and analysis of stepped wedge cluster randomized trials, Contemporary Clinical Trials(28),2007
\({ }^{4}\) Heo M., Kim N., Rinke ML., Wylie-Rosett J., Sample size determinations for stepped-wedge clinical trials from a three-level data hierarchy perspective, Stat Methods Med Res., 2016
}```

